

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

BARKAN WIRELESS IP HOLDINGS, L.P., §

§

*Plaintiff,* §

§

v. §

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:18-CV-00028-JRG

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., §  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, §  
INC., CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A §  
VERIZON WIRELESS, §

§

*Defendants.* §

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Plaintiff Barkan Wireless IP Holdings, L.P.’s (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless’s (collectively “Defendants”) (together, “Parties”) Joint Motion for Entry of Dismissal With Prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) and 41(c) and a confidential settlement agreement (the “Motion”). (Dkt. No. 165 at 1.) Having considered the same, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion should be and hereby is **GRANTED.**<sup>1</sup>

It is therefore **ORDERED** that all claims and counterclaims in the above-captioned case asserted between the Parties are hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. It is further **ORDERED** that each party shall bear its own costs, expenses, and attorneys’ fees. Additionally,

---

<sup>1</sup> Although Defendants have filed answer in this case (Dkt. Nos. 23, 25), the Court finds that dismissal is appropriate by treating the Motion as either a *stipulation* under Federal Rule of Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) or a joint *motion* under Rule 41(a)(2). The Court shall give effect to the Parties’ joint request for dismissal.

all other pending relief requested between the Parties are hereby **DENIED-AS-MOOT**. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the above-captioned case.

**So ORDERED and SIGNED this 3rd day of June, 2019.**



\_\_\_\_\_  
RODNEY GILSTRAP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE